

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/621,953	07/17/2003	Brian Bidlingmeyer	10020542-1	1544
7590 11/08/2004		EXAMINER		
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Legal Department, DL429			THERKORN, ERNEST G	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 7599 Loveland, CO 80537-0599		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1723	
			DATE MAILED: 11/08/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			m			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer	10/621,953	BIDLINGMEYER ET	AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
T. 4444400000000000000000000000000000000	Ernest G. Therkorn	1723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addr	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statue Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron te. cause the application to become ABANDONI	imely filed ys will be considered timely. note the mailing date of this common the mailing date of the mailing date of this common the mailing date of the mailing date	nunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17.	July 2003 and 19 November 2003					
	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	or					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted to by the Examination and accepted to be accepted to be accepted to be accepted to by the Examination and accepted to be accepted to		Evaminor				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) he held in abeyance. So	27 CED 1 95(a)				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			1 121(4)			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO	-1.121(u). -152			
	*		,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documen						
2. Certified copies of the priority documen						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International Burea		ed in this National Sta	age			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	` ''	nd.				
and an action of a list	to the certified copies flot receive	a.				
Attachment(s)						
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413) ate.				
i) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-15	2)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/621,953

Art Unit: 1723

The following three elections of species are required:

ELECTION I

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Each additive, such as 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol or 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexafluoroisopropanol, is considered to be a distinct species.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Application/Control Number: 10/621,953

Art Unit: 1723

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

ELECTION II

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Each modifier, such as a buffering agent, trisubstituted ammonium salt, EDTA, a surfactant, and an acetonitrile, is considered to be a distinct species.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

Art Unit: 1723

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

ELECTION III

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Each component, such as DNA fragments or polypeptides, is considered to be a distinct species.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 1723

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Bret E. Field on November 3, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to E. Therkorn at telephone number (571) 272-1149. The official fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ernest G. Therkorn Primary Examiner

not 6 Habor

Art Unit 1723

EGT November 3, 2004